

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
for the
Western District of Pennsylvania

United States of America)	
v.)	
Maurice Vincent Gardner)	Case No: <u>99-43 Erie</u>
)	USM No: <u>10831-068</u>
Date of Previous Judgment: <u>12/22/2000</u>)	Thomas W. Patton
(Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Applicable))	Defendant's Attorney

Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Reduction Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)

Upon motion of the defendant the Director of the Bureau of Prisons the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:

DENIED. GRANTED and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in the last judgment issued) of 12/22/2000 months **is reduced to** 110 months.

I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RANGE (Prior to Any Departures)

Previous Offense Level: <u>34</u>	Amended Offense Level: <u>34</u>
Criminal History Category: <u>VI</u>	Criminal History Category: <u>VI</u>
Previous Guideline Range: <u>262</u> to <u>327</u> months	Amended Guideline Range: <u>262</u> to <u>327</u> months

II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO AMENDED GUIDELINE RANGE

- The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range.
- The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time of sentencing as a result of a departure or Rule 35 reduction, and the reduced sentence is comparably less than the amended guideline range.
- Other (explain): _____

III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

Except as provided above, all provisions of the judgment dated 12/22/2000 shall remain in effect.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Order Date: _____

Judge's signature

Effective Date: _____
(if different from order date)

Printed name and title